

To: Delgado, Eric[Delgado.Eric@epa.gov]
Cc: Atencio, Kathie[Atencio.Kathie@epa.gov]; Loesel, Matthew[loesel.matthew@epa.gov]; Burgo, Daniel[Burgo.Daniel@epa.gov]; Bernier, Roberto[bernier.roberto@epa.gov]; EOC_Manager,[EOC_Manager@epa.gov]; EOC Public Information[EOC_Public_Information@epa.gov]; Tulis, Dana[Tulis.Dana@epa.gov]; Turner, Philip[Turner.Philip@epa.gov]; Rauscher, Jon[Rauscher.Jon@epa.gov]; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com[kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com]; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com[Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com]
From: Smith, Terry
Sent: Sun 8/16/2015 9:30:40 PM
Subject: Re: Region 6 data through Aug 11th

That would be fine.

I only need to see data that has not been posted yet.

Terry

From: Delgado, Eric
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 5:23 PM
To: EOC Environmental Unit
Cc: Atencio, Kathie; Loesel, Matthew; Burgo, Daniel; Bernier, Roberto; EOC_Manager;; EOC Public Information; Tulis, Dana; Turner, Philip; Rauscher, Jon; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com
Subject: Re: Region 6 data through Aug 11th

Terry,

We have been focussing on delivering HQEOC the new data for final review for public posting. The queries to generate that report are fine and produced the table for the 11th. As a courtesy we sent you all of the results up to the 11th and there appears to be something we need to look at for the multiple entries. That query does not scrub out the rejected values but we can if you want. All of the data prior to the 11th has already been posted so you don't really need it. I recommend that we send you only the new data sets to avoid additional confusion. Sound fair?

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 16, 2015, at 4:00 PM, EOC Environmental Unit
<EOC_Environmental_Unit@epa.gov> wrote:

Kathie and Matthew:

We have looked at this data and still have some issues. The HQ report for all data have several duplicate entries for most of the samples, e.g. the sample results were duplicated two to three times. More importantly a number of the data results have been qualified as rejected (R qualifier). As the data will be posted for public review, the data should be clean with no rejected data and no duplicate entries.

For the 11th only data set, the qualifiers seem fine, but for all of the data there are multiple entries of results, sometimes the same sample results were reported 6 times.

The

Terry

From: Loesel, Matthew
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 3:23 PM
To: Delgado, Eric; EOC Environmental Unit; Rauscher, Jon
Cc: Burgo, Daniel; EOC_Manager,; EOC Public Information; Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com; Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com; Webster, Susan
Subject: RE: Region 6 data through Aug 11th

Attached please find the Write-up from Friday night along with the table in the requested format, please let me know if you need anything else from R6. This is all data through the 11th in file entitled HQ Report all data thru 11th and 2nd table is only the 11th and is entitled HQ Report 11th only

Matt Loesel

R6 Planning Section Chief

US EPA – Region 6

817-271-4586

From: Delgado, Eric
Sent: Sunday, August 16, 2015 1:15 PM

To: EOC Environmental Unit; Rauscher, Jon; Loesel, Matthew
Cc: Burgo, Daniel; EOC_Manager,; EOC Public Information;
Janine.Latham@WestonSolutions.com; kris.fuller@westonsolutions.com;
Brad.Morgan@WestonSolutions.com; Webster, Susan
Subject: Re: Region 6 data through Aug 11th

Terry I will get this fixed ASAP

Brad, get with Dan in the R6 EOC to come to a resolution.

Sent from my iPad

On Aug 16, 2015, at 12:53 PM, EOC Environmental Unit
<EOC_Environmental_Unit@epa.gov> wrote:

All. Attached is Region 6 surface water results through August 11. I have sent this data back to the data team with the following issues.

The table contains RSL and Ag comparison values of which we are no longer wanting to post on the web, so these columns need to be deleted. More importantly, these results are in mg/L. All other data is being posted in ug/L, and I think we want to be consistent in posting.

I know the Region is waiting for this to get posted, so I see two options.

1) I can delete the RSL and Ag columns from here and we keep the results in mg/L.

2) The data team can delete the RSL and Ag columns and change the results to ug/L.

Please advise. I think R6 RA is getting a little testy.

Terry

<R6 SurfaceWater_Cumulative_Thru_081115.xlsx>

<HQ Report 11th only 0811515.xlsx>

<HQ Report all data thru 11th 0811515.xlsx>

<Animas River from the northern border of NM to Navajo Nation - Sampling
Summary for 080715 to 081115 v1.docx>